IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re the Application of:

Via Facsimile: 703-305-3602

Falconnier, et al.

Group Art Unit: 1761

Serial No.: 09/423,665

Examiner: C. Scherrer OFFICIAL

Filed: November 22, 1999

For: NOVEL CLEAR BEVERAGE OPTIONALLY ALCOHOLIC CONTAINING ANETHOL AND

CLOUDY DILUTED BEVERAGE OBTAINED BY DILUTION

TRANSMITTAL

FAX RECEIVED

OCT 2 3 2001

Commissioner of Patents Washington, D.C. 20231

GROUP 1700

Sir:

Transmitted herewith via facsimile is Request for New Action and to Restart Period for Response in the above-captioned application..

Respectfully submitted,

JACOBSON HORMAN PLLC

By:

William E. Player Reg. No. 31,409

400 Seventh Street, N.W. Washington, D.C. 20004 Tel. No.: 202-638-6666 Atty. Dkt. No. P64053US0 Date: October 23, 2001

WEP:id

R:\HOMB\VBYER\$\2001\October\P64053tm.wpd

Atty. Docket No. P64053US0 95

MARK OFFICE

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re the Application of:

Falconnier, et al.

Group Art Unit: 1761

Serial No.: 09/423,665

Examiner: C. Scherrer

Filed: November 22, 1999

For:

NOVEL CLEAR BEVERAGE OPTIONALLY ALCOHOLIC CONTAINING ANETHOL AND

CLOUDY DILUTED BEVERAGE OBTAINED BY DILUTION

REQUEST FOR NEW ACTION AND TO RESTART PERIOD FOR RESPONSE

Commissioner of Patents Washington, D.C. 20231

Sir:

Applicants respectfully request that the PTO mail a new action in place of the Office Action mailed July 23, 2001 (the "Office Action"), which new action restarts the time period for response. This request is made because, as detailed below, the Office action is defective because the reason for making the rejection under §112, ¶1, for alleged lack of descriptive support (the "newmatter rejection"), is missing from the statement of rejection.

At page 3 of the Office Ation, the new-matter rejection ends with an incomplete sentence, i.e.:

Applicants have amended the claims to include the terms "

The claim "terms" missing from the statement of rejection comprise the subject matter of the claims allegedly constituting new matter, i.e., the reason for the new-matter rejection.

Since the reason for the new-matter rejection, i.e., the alleged new matter, is missing from

the statement of rejection, Applicants cannot formulate a response to the new-matter rejection.

"Where a claim is refused for any reason... it should be 'rejected' and the ground of rejection fully and clearly stated." MPEP 707.02(d) (emphasis added).

The missing reason for the new-matter rejection represents a "defect" in the instant Office Action, which requires correction by the PTO mailing a new Office Action, which corrects the defect and restarts the time period for response. MPEP § 710.06.

Favorable action is requested.

Respectfully submitted,
JACOBSON-HOLMAN PLLC

Rv.

William E. Player Reg. No. 31,409

Date: October 23, 2001

The Jenifer Building 400 Seventh Street, NW Washington, D.C. 20004-2201 Telephone: (202) 638-6666 Atty. Docket: P64053US0 Date: October 23, 2001

WEP/